UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/966,985	11/10/1997	JEFFREY JACOBSEN	KPN96-03A8	6374
21005 7590 12/27/2007 HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD			EXAMINER	
			PIZIALI, JEFFREY J	
P.O. BOX 9133 CONCORD, MA 01742-9133		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629	
	•	•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	08/966,985	JACOBSEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeff Piziali	2629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Se	eptember 2007.					
<i>′</i> =	, 					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	:х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 4:	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)	are withdrawn from consideration re rejected.	n				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 November 2007 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	-					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>27 September 2007</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 08/966,985

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 27 September 2007 has been entered.

Drawings

2. The drawings were received on 9 November 2007. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Wilska* et al. (UK 2,289,555) in view of *Takahara et al.* (US 5,436,635) and *Helms* (US 5,760,760 A).

Regarding claim 1, Wilska discloses a portable communications device having a reflective display comprising a device housing [Fig. 1, 1] having a wireless receiver [Fig. 1, 18]; a display [Fig. 1, 9] having an array of pixel electrodes; a display control circuit [Fig. 3, 6]

positioned in the housing and connected to the wireless receiver and the matrix display such that image data that is received by the receiver is input to the display control circuit, which generates a display signal to drive the matrix display to render the image (see Page 3, Paragraph 8 - Page 6, Paragraph 1). Wilska does not expressly disclose an active matrix display, a light emitting diode, an optical coupler, and a power management circuit.

However, Takahara does disclose an active matrix display [Fig. 21, 214] having an active matrix circuit [Fig. 11; T_{mn}] and an array of pixel electrodes [Fig. 11; P_{mn}], the active matrix circuit capable of storing charge between vertical synchronization signals (see Column 20, Lines 26-51); a light emitting diode light source [Fig. 21, 211] optically coupled to illuminate the matrix display for illuminating the image; and an optical coupler [Fig. 21, 213] that couples light from the light source onto the matrix display and the reflected light toward a viewer (see Column 28, Lines 30-49 and Column 33, Lines 22-28), and a power management circuit [Fig. 22, 223] that lowers the power consumption of the control circuit [Fig. 22, 225] between vertical synchronization signals (see Column 31, Lines 16-63), the power management circuit [Fig. 22, 223] arranged for receiving control signals [i.e. pulse width variable signals from the 'variable resistor' (which is not illustrated), and the circuit within the light emitting tube power supply for modulating the anode voltage with a pulse signal (which is also not explicitly illustrated)] for lowering the power consumption, the control signals resulting from signals from a display control circuit [Fig. 22, the combined circuitry of the reproduction circuit (225), variable resistor (which is not illustrated), and the circuit within the light emitting tube power supply for modulating the anode voltage with a pulse signal (which is also not explicitly illustrated)] that are initiated by the display control circuit, the power management circuit and the display control

Application/Control Number: 08/966,985

Art Unit: 2629

circuit being connected together and arranged in a configuration that lowers the power consumption is a user adjustable manner (see Column 31, Lines 16-63).

Takahara does not expressly disclose the power management circuit and the display control circuit being connected together and arranged in a configuration that lowers the power consumption is a self-regulating manner, as instantly claimed.

However, Helms does disclose a power management circuit [Fig. 2; 14 & 204] that controls the power consumption of a display control circuit [Fig. 2; 10], the power management circuit [Fig. 2; 14 & 204] lowering the power consumption of the display circuit [Fig. 2; 10] between vertical synchronization signals, the power management circuit [Fig. 2; 14 & 204] arranged for receiving control signals [Fig. 2; 214] for lowering the power consumption, the control signals [Fig. 2; 214] resulting from signals from the display control circuit [Fig. 2; 10] that are initiated by the display control circuit [Fig. 2; 10], the power management circuit [Fig. 2; 14 & 204] and the display control circuit [Fig. 2; 10] being connected together and arranged in a configuration that lowers the power consumption in a self regulating manner (see Column 3, Line 25 - Column 4, Line 5).

Wilska, Takahara, and Helms are analogous art because they are from the field of portable communications devices. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to use Helms' self regulating power management circuit in conjunction with Takahara's active matrix display, LED light source, optical coupler assembly, and power management circuit, and with Wilska's communication device, so as to provide a high quality and energy efficient liquid crystal image that's easy to see (and read) in both dark and bright light.

Application/Control Number: 08/966,985

Art Unit: 2629

Regarding claim 2, Takahara discloses reflective pixel electrodes (see Column 7, Lines 50-56) and further comprising a transistor circuit formed with single crystal silicon [Fig. 18A, 167c] associated with each pixel electrode (see Column 24, Line 35 - Column 25, Line 59).

Regarding claim 3, Takahara discloses a color sequential display circuit (see Fig. 15; Column 23, Lines 12-37).

Regarding claim 4, Takahara discloses a switching circuit [Fig. 1, 11-14] connected to a counterelectrode panel of the matrix display for switching the applied voltage to the counterelectrode panel (see Column 13, Lines 20-65).

Regarding claim 37, Takahara discloses the power consumption of the control circuit being lowered without comparing sequential image data (see Column 31, Lines 16-63).

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Wilska et al. (UK - 2,289,555)* in view of *Takahara et al. (US 5,436,635)* and *Helms (US 5,760,760 A)* as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of *Shigeta et al. (US 5,394,204)*.

Regarding claim 5, neither Wilska nor Takahara nor Helms expressly disclose a dichroic prism. However, Shigeta discloses a dichroic prism [Fig. 9, 63] (see Column 1, Lines 14-39). Wilska, Takahara, Helms, and Shigeta are analogous art because they are from the field of matrix display systems. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the

time of the invention, to utilize Shigeta's prism system with Wilska's and Takahara's combined communications device to provide a large-sized color image.

6. Claims 6-8, 10, 12-16, 18, 22-27, 38, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Wilska et al.* (UK - 2,289,555) in view of *Takahara et al.* (US 5,436,635), Helms (US 5,760,760 A), Shigeta et al. (US 5,394,204), and Yagyu (US 5,856,814).

Regarding claim 6, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claims 1, 3, and 5; furthermore, Wilska discloses a battery [Fig. 3, 3]. None of Wilska, Takahara, Helms, and Shigeta expressly disclose the light source being three light emitting diodes of three distinct colors. However, Yagyu discloses a light source [Fig. 10, 104] that is three light emitting diodes [Fig. 10, EDR, EDG and EDB] of three distinct colors (see Column 8, Lines 19-47). Wilska, Takahara, Shigeta, and Yagyu are all analogous art because they are from the field of liquid crystal displays. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to utilize Yagyu's three light emitting diodes system as Wilska's, Takahara's, and Shigeta's combined light source, so as to provide a color display for easy viewing.

Regarding claims 7 and 15, Takahara discloses a diffuser (see Column 4, Lines 14-46).

Regarding claim 8, Shigeta discloses at least one dichroic mirror [Fig. 10, 56-59] for directing the light from one light emitting diode and allowing light from another light emitting diode to pass through (see Column 1, Lines 14-39 and Column 7, Lines 3-15).

Regarding claims 10 and 18, Wilska discloses a telephone [Fig. 3, 17] (see Page 5,

Paragraph 3).

Regarding claim 12, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection

of claims 1, 2, 5, and 6.

Regarding claims 13 and 23, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above

rejection of claim 3.

Regarding claim 14, while Wilska does not expressly disclose an array of at least 640 x

480 pixel electrodes, Wilska does disclose providing a resolution greater than 640 x 200 pixels²

(see Page 4, Paragraph 2). Therefore, for the purpose of providing a precise display image, it

would have been additionally obvious to an artisan at the time of invention to utilize 640 x 480

pixel electrodes.

Regarding claims 16 and 22, Shigeta discloses a pair of dichroic mirrors [Fig. 10, 56-59],

each mirror for directing the light from one light emitting diode and allowing light from at least

another light emitting diode to pass through (see Column 1, Lines 14-39 and Column 7, Lines 3-

15).

Regarding claim 24, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claim 4.

Regarding claim 25, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claim 6.

Regarding claim 26, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claim 8.

Regarding claim 27, Yagyu discloses the three light emitting diodes are flashed concurrently to emit white light (see Column 8, Lines 19-47).

Regarding claim 38, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claim 37.

Regarding claim 39, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claim 37.

7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8, 10, 12-16, 18, 22-27, and 37-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In particular, *Helms (US 5,760,760 A)* has been newly incorporated into the rejections as teaching a power management circuit [Fig. 2; 14 & 204] that controls the power consumption of a display control circuit [Fig. 2; 10], the power management circuit [Fig. 2; 14 & 204] lowering the power consumption of the display circuit [Fig. 2; 10] between vertical synchronization signals, the power management circuit [Fig. 2; 14 & 204] arranged for receiving control signals [Fig. 2; 214] for lowering the power consumption, the control signals [Fig. 2; 214] resulting from signals from the display control circuit [Fig. 2; 10] that are initiated by the display control circuit [Fig. 2; 10], the power management circuit [Fig. 2; 14 & 204] and the display control circuit [Fig. 2; 10] being connected together and arranged in a configuration that lowers the power consumption in a self regulating manner (see Column 3, Line 25 - Column 4, Line 5), as instantly claimed.

By such reasoning, rejection of the claims is deemed necessary, proper, and thereby maintained at this time.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Piziali whose telephone number is (571) 272-7678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30AM - 3PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (571) 272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeff Piziali

19 December 2007